

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
1	Number of NAs in Service at end of reporting period		297	287	309	306	326
2	Number of persons added to OIA Pool	42	15	31	42	35	33
3	Number of NAs in So. Ca. pool		168	169	177	172	181
4	Number of NAs in No. Ca. pool		110	103	112	118	130
5	Number of NAs in San Diego Pool		42	50	61	59	60
6	Number of NAs who left pool	16	24	32	11	26	19
7	Number of NAs OIA terminated	1		14	9	19	2
8	Number of NAs who serve on more than one of our panels		23	34	39	40	39
9	Number of NAs who are former judges			105	109	119	121
10	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges			37 %	35 %	39 %	37.12 %
11	Number of NAs who are former judges (Northern California)			43	41	45	42
12	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges (Northern California)			42 %	37 %	38 %	32.31 %
13	Number of NAs who are former judges (Southern California)			55	60	64	68
14	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges (Southern California)			33 %	34 %	37 %	37.57 %
15	Number of NAs who are former judges (San Diego)			17	21	25	25
16	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges (San Diego)			34 %	34 %	42 %	41.67 %
17	Number of persons in OIA pool who had served as NA	191	199	202	195	180	186
18	Percentage of persons in OIA pool who had served as NA	62 %	67 %	70 %	63 %	59 %	57.06 %
19	Lowest of range in number of appointments of people in OIA pool		0	0	0	0	0
20	Highest of range in number of appointments of people in OIA pool		20	42	23	24	47
21	Number of times the NA at the high end of range was jointly selected			9	10	9	37
22	Average number of appointments per person in OIA pool		3.	2.9	2.22	2.19	2.03
23	Median number of appointments per person in OIA pool		1	2	1	1	1
24	Mode number of appointments per person in OIA pool		0	0	0	0	0
25	Number of different NAs who wrote awards after hearing			85	93	88	81
26	Number of NAs who wrote only one award after hearing			59	63	64	60
27	Number of NAs who wrote two awards after hearing			20	20	16	13
28	Have all persons in OIA pool been misted at least once on an LPA?			No	Yes	No	No
29	Average number of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA			41	42	42	39
30	Median number of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA			44	44	46	40
31	Mode number of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA			45	45	44	36

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
32	Lowest range of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA			0	6	0	7
33	Highest range of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA			60	62	72	61
34	Lowest range of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA			0	1	1	0
35	Highest range of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA			40	37	40	37
36	Average number of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA			23	23	23	21
37	Median number of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA			23	23	23	21
38	Mode number of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA			23	21	23	21
39	Lowest range of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA			0	3	0	0
40	Highest range of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA			27	25	21	18
41	Average number of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA			17	14	9	10
42	Median number of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA			19	15	11	10
43	Mode number of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA			19	15	11	10
44	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from Kaiser	1,030	1,053	989	861	840	825
45	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from Northern California	474	476	500	417	417	442
46	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from Southern California	465	478	408	370	358	329
47	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from San	91	99	81	74	65	54
48	Average number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	8.	8.	8.	6.	3.6	3.39
49	Mode number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	Median number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	4	5	5	4	3	3
51	Lowest number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
52	Highest number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	234	247	463	95	91	78
53	Number of DFAs in the OIA system that were mandatory	724	922	940	828	811	796
54	Number of opt-in DFAs submitted to the OIA	306	131	49	33	29	29
55	Number of claimants who opted in to the OIA system	192	76	29	23	18	21
56	Number of opt-in claimants who affirmatively refused to enter the OIA system	3	1	2	1	2	0
57	Number of opt-in DFAs that settled before the deadline to opt-in had arrived		0	0	0	0	0
58	Number of opt-in DFAs withdrawn before the deadline to opt-in had arrived	7	5	1	0	0	0
59	Number of opt-in DFAs returned to Kaiser due to lack of response from claimants	96	54	15	6	8	7
60	Number of opt-in DFAs whose deadline to opt-in had not expired	8	4	2	3	1	1
61	Number of cases OIA has or is administering	916	998	968	851	829	817
62	What number of our cases are medical malpractice cases	816	853	911	790	764	747
63	What percentage of our cases are medical malpractice cases	89 %	86 %	94 %	93 %	92 %	91.43 %
64	Number of benefits and coverage cases	17	22	15	15	20	8
65	Percentage of all administered cases that are lien cases	2 %	2 %	2 %	2 %	2.4 %	5.14 %
66	Number of lien cases					26	42
67	Percentage of all administered cases that are benefits and coverage					2%	0.98 %
68	Number of premises liability cases	12	15	24	21	14	14
69	Percentage of all administered cases that are premises liability cases	1 %	1.5 %	3 %	2.5 %	2 %	1.71 %
70	Number of other tort cases	15	12	9	6	3	6
71	Percentage of all administered cases that are other tort cases	2 %	1 %	1 %	0.5 %	0.3 %	0.73 %
72	Number of unknown cases	56	92	2	5	2	0
73	Percentage of all administered cases that are unknown cases	6 %	9 %	0 %	0.5 %	0.2 %	0 %
74	Number of cases in which attorneys represent claimants	683	773	753	710	667	649
75	Percentage of cases in which attorneys represent claimants	75 %	77 %	78 %	83 %	80.5 %	79.44 %
76	Number of cases in which claimants represent themselves (Pro Per)	233	225	214	141	162	168
77	Percentage of cases in which claimants represent themselves (Pro Per)	25 %	23 %	22 %	17 %	19.5 %	20.56 %
78	Number of cases in which a NA has been selected	809	804	936	763	757	703
79	Number of cases in which NA was jointly selected	242	220	240	206	215	211
80	Percentage of cases in which NA was jointly selected	30 %	27 %	26 %	27 %	28.5 %	30.01 %

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
81	Number of cases in which the NA was picked by strike and rank	565	584	695	555	541	491
82	Percentage of cases in which the NA was picked by strike and rank method	70 %	73 %	74 %	73 %	71.5 %	69.84 %
83	Number of cases in which the NA was selected by court order	2	0	1	2	1	1
84	Number of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is in OIA pool	166	141	168	152	159	172
85	Percentage of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is in OIA pool	69 %	64 %	70 %	74 %	74 %	81.52 %
86	Number of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is not in OIA pool	76	79	72	54	56	39
87	Percentage of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is in OIA pool	31 %	36 %	30 %	26 %	26 %	18.48 %
88	Number of 90 day postponements obtained by claimants	301		433	340	403	370
89	Number of 90 day postponements obtained by respondents	2		1	3	1	2
90	Number of open cases with 90 Day postponements but no NA selected	82		106	83	91	96
91	Number of times claimants have disqualified a NA	31		46	53	64	45
92	Number of times respondents have disqualified a NA	14		7	3	7	9
93	Number of cases with one disqualified NA			46	32	37	25
94	Number of cases with two disqualified NAs			2	2	6	2
95	Number of cases with three disqualified Nas			1	2	1	3
96	Number of cases with four disqualified Nas			0	1	1	1
97	Number of cases with five disqualified Nas			0	2	3	1
98	Number of cases with six disqualified Nas			0	0	0	1
99	Number of cases in which a NA has been selected (excluding cases where NA recused self)			891	721	706	676
100	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with no postponements or disqualifications	23.	27.	25.	24.	24.	24.82
101	Number of cases with no postponements or disqualifications with NA selected	507	410	464	409	346	355
102	Percent of NA selections in cases with no postponements or disqualifications	66 %	55.7 %	52 %	57 %	49 %	52.51 %
103	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with only postponements	104.	115.	114.	111.	111.	110.59
104	Number of cases with only postponements with NA selected	199	283	380	289	318	293
105	Percent of NA selections in cases with only postponements	26 %	37.7 %	43 %	40 %	45 %	43.34 %
106	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with only disqualifications	61.	62.	75.	51.	68.	59.21
107	Number of cases with only disqualifications with NA selected	44	27	14	12	16	14
108	Percent of NA selections in cases with only disqualifications	6 %	3.6 %	2 %	1.5 %	2.3 %	2.07 %

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
109	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with postponements and disqualifications	143.	164.	162.	160.	173.	170.57
110	Number of cases with postponements and disqualifications with NA selected	23	30	33	11	26	14
111	Percent of NA selections in cases with postponements and disqualifications	3 %	4 %	4 %	1.5 %	3.7 %	2.07 %
112	Time (days) to selection of NA in all cases	50.	67.	69.	61.	70.	65.73
113	Number of all cases with NA selected	773	750	891	721	706	676
114	Percentage of all cases with NA selected						
115	Number of cases in which a NA recused self after appointment	37		49	42	51	27
116	Number of cases which have a NA (excluding cases that have a	773		891	721	706	676
117	Number of cases with NA selection, but no postponement or disqualification	507	410	464	409	346	355
118	Percentage of cases with NA selection, but no postponement or disqualification	66 %	55 %	52 %	57 %	49 %	52.51 %
119	Average number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	23	27	25	24	24	25
120	Mode number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	22	22	22	23	22	22
121	Median number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	23	24	24	23	23	24
122	Lowest number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	0	0	0	3	3	4
123	Highest number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	94	86	62	57	46	47
124	Number of cases in which party has obtained a 90 day postponement (includes cases with no NA)	303		380	343	404	372
125	Percentage of cases in which party has obtained a 90 day postponement (includes cases with no NA)	35 %		43 %			48.63 %
126	Number of cases with NA selected and postponement was only delay	199	283	380	289	318	293
127	Average number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	104.	115.	114.	111.	111.	110.59
128	Mode number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	113	112	112	113	113	113
129	Median number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	113	115	115	114	114	114
130	Lowest number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	20	23	23	22	29	23

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
131	Highest number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	137	242	206	329	232	210
132	Number of cases where an NA has been disqualified but there was no postponement	44	27	14	12	16	14
133	Average number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	61.	62.	75.	51.	68.	59.21
134	Median number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	58		70	51	65	56
135	Mode number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	56		69		57	56
136	Lowest number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	28		42	33	32	24
137	Highest number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	161		155	76	124	117
138	Number of cases with both postponement and disqualifications	23	30	33	11	26	14
139	Average number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	143.	164.	162.	160.	173.	170.57
140	Median number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	146	160	159	148	154	148
141	Mode number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	144	141	139	148	154	148
142	Lowest number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	78	91	95	128	126	124
143	Highest number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	172	232	224	213	380	291
144	Average number of days in all cases to select a NA (excluding recusals)	50.	67.	69.	61.	70.	65.73
145	Number of cases closed after hearing with designation of a party arbitrator			8	10	10	8
146	Number of open cases with designation of a party arbitrator		25	17	22	18	12
147	Number of open cases with designation of a party arbitrator from both parties		7	7	10	10	7
148	Number of times the OIA has temporarily removed (suspended) NAs from pool for failure to timely serve disclosures	2	2	3	1	0	2
149	Number reinstated to OIA pool (disclosure)	2		3	1	0	2

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
150	Number of times the OIA has temporarily removed NA from pool for failure to submit a timely AMC	1	4	8	17	3	3
151	Number reinstated to OIA pool (AMC)	1	2	8	16	2	3
152	Number of cases in which the OIA has received a completed MSM	332	357	421	403	377	361
153	Number of cases in which the OIA has never received a completed MSM form that was due	139	184	165	171	118	98
154	Number of times NA temporarily suspended from OIA pool for failure to serve an award		2	3	1	7	2
155	Number of open cases	766	912	865	796	805	753
156	Number of open cases awaiting the payment of the filing fee or completed the fee waiver request	32	40	56	33	28	44
157	Number of open cases in process of selecting NA	102	146	159	165	154	133
158	Number of open cases with NA selected	632	726	650	598	623	575
159	Number of open cases where the arbitrator management conference has been held	466	503	510	470	502	478
160	Percentage of open cases where the arbitrator management conference has been held	74 %	55 %	59 %	59 %	62 %	63.48 %
161	Number of open cases where the parties held the mandatory settlement meeting	106	114	145	159	166	141
162	Number of cases where the hearing had been held, but the OIA had not yet been served with the decision	2	4	5	3	4	10
163	Number of open mandatory open cases	551	812	823	775	786	731
164	Percentage of open mandatory open cases	72 %	89 %	95 %	97 %	98 %	97.08 %
165	Number of all cases that are closed		847	997	912	797	844
166	Percentage of all cases that are closed						
167	Number of cases closed "other" ways (Consolidated/Other/Judgment on Pleadings/Split)			8	7	10	6
168	Number of closed cases - settlements	350	381	485	370	320	355
169	Percentage of closed cases - settlements	44 %	45 %	49 %	41 %	40 %	42.06 %
170	Number of closed cases - withdrawn	157	197	232	246	216	238
171	Percentage of closed cases - withdrawn	20 %	23 %	23 %	27 %	27 %	28.2 %
172	Number of closed cases - dismissed	23	27	22	34	16	25
173	Percentage of closed cases -dismissed	3 %	3 %	2 %	4 %	2 %	2.96 %
174	Number of closed cases - abandoned	38	29	44	39	36	39

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
175	Percentage of closed cases - abandoned	5 %	3 %	4 %	4 %	4.5 %	4.62 %
176	Number of closed cases - summary judgments	109	93	85	73	72	68
177	Percentage of closed cases -summary judgments	14 %	11 %	9 %	8 %	9 %	8.06 %
178	Number of closed cases - award after hearing	122	119	121	143	127	113
179	Percentage of closed cases - award after hearing	15 %	14 %	12 %	16 %	16 %	13.39 %
180	Number of closed cases - consolidated/other/split/judgment on			8	7	10	6
181	Percentage of closed cases - consolidated/other/split/judgment on pleadings			0.7 %	0.8 %	1.3 %	0.71 %
182	Number of cases that have not closed on time	0	3	8	2	3	6
183	Average number of days for OIA cases to close	281.	296.	319.	326.	330.	341.72
184	Median number of days for OIA cases to close	271	281	308	311	311	324
185	Mode number of days for OIA cases to close	251	274	286	280	112	205
186	Lowest number of days for OIA cases to close	5	3	1	3	8	0
187	Highest number of days for OIA cases to close	802	1,181	1,485	1,285	1,705	1,651
188	Number of closed cases			922	848	737	787
189	Average number of days to close - decided after hearing			461.	456.	470.	533.15
190	Average number of days to close - summary judgment			333.	355.	377.	355.4
191	Average number of days to close - settled			317.	320.	311.	325.02
192	Average number of days to close - dismissed			376.	317.	330.	389.48
193	Average number of days to close - withdrawn			231.	247.	254.	261.65
194	Average number of days to close - other closures			370.	221.	545.	553.
195	Average number of days to close - overall average			319.	326.	330.	341.72
196	Number of closed cases - settlements	350	381	485	370	320	355
197	Percentage of closed cases - settlements	44 %	45 %	49 %	41 %	40 %	42.06 %
198	Average number of days to close - settled	278	300	317	320	311	325
199	Median number of days to close - settled	273	288	312	301	301	313
200	Mode number of days to close - settled	119	363	286	280	330	24
201	Lowest number of days to close - settled	11	17	1	7	18	4
202	Highest number of days to close - settled	802	986	1,485	1,285	1,705	985
203	Number of settled cases in which the claimant in pro per	39	24	48	18	11	32
204	Number of closed cases - withdrawn	157	197	232	246	216	238
205	Percentage of closed cases - withdrawn	20 %	23 %	23 %	27 %	27 %	28.2 %
206	Number of cases that closed as withdrawn with pro per claimaints	72		89	78	52	69
207	Average number of days to close - withdrawn	199.	222.	231.	247.	254.	261.65

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
208	Median number of days for withdrawn cases to close	160	193	212	243	239	243
209	Mode number of days for withdrawn cases to close	245	120	74	47	112	77
210	Lowest number of days for withdrawn cases to close	5	3	9	3	8	0
211	Highest number of days for withdrawn cases to close	744	1,036	903	789	941	1,038
212	Number of closed cases - dismissed	23	27	22	34	16	25
213	Percentage of closed cases - dismissed	3 %	3 %	2 %	4 %	2 %	2.96 %
214	Number of cases closed as dismissed with pro per claimants	13	11	14	25	10	16
215	Number of closed cases - abandoned	38	29	44	39	36	39
216	Percentage of closed cases - abandoned	5 %	3 %	4 %	4 %	4.5 %	4.62 %
217	Number of cases closed as abandoned with pro per claimants	29	14	27	15	19	22
218	Number of closed cases - summary judgments	109	93	85	73	72	68
219	Percentage of closed cases -summary judgments	14 %	11 %	9 %	8 %	9 %	8.06 %
220	Number of cases closed by summary judgment with pro per claimants	77	63	65	53	49	44
221	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because claimant lacked an expert	50	24	27	20	26	20
222	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because claimant filed no opposition	30	35	38	33	25	20
223	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because DFA made after the statute of limitations	10	6	4	7	9	7
224	Number of cases where summary judgment granted for any other reason (Res judicata, waiver or release, no evidence of negligence)		1	0	3	1	2
225	Average number of days to close - summary judgment	299.	280.	333.	355.	377.	355.4
226	Median number of days to close - summary judgment	271	271	309	334	364	339
227	Mode number of days to close - summary judgment	163	286	287	334	374	349
228	Lowest number of days to close - summary judgment	96	108	147	153	121	112
229	Highest number of days to close - summary judgment	767	533	871	603	1,075	865
230	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because the claimant failed to show causation	12	6	1	1	2	0
231	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because no triable issue of fact	7	21	15	9	9	19
232	Number of closed cases - award after hearing	122	119	121	143	127	113
233	Percentage of closed cases - award after hearing	15 %	14 %	12 %	16 %	16 %	13.39 %
234	Number of cases closed after hearing where respondent prevailed	76	68	74	95	73	71
235	Percentage of cases closed after hearing where respondent prevailed	62 %	57 %	61 %	66 %	57.5 %	62.83 %

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
236	Number of cases closed after hearing where Kaiser prevailed with pro per claimant	18	14	7	12	8	7
237	Number of cases closed after hearing where claimant prevailed	46	51	47	48	54	42
238	Percentage of cases closed after hearing where claimant prevailed	38 %	43 %	39 %	34 %	42.5 %	37.17 %
239	Number of cases closed after hearing where claimant prevailed with pro per claimant	4	4	3	4	2	0
240	Average number of days to end of hearing			447.	443.	457.	518.14
241	Average number of days for hearing cases to close	372.	410.	461.	456.	470.	533.15
242	Median number of days for hearing cases to close	352	370	418	439	437	475
243	Mode number of days for hearing cases to close	265	264	322	203	294	367
244	Lowest number of days for hearing cases to close	88	128	154	23	105	205
245	Highest number of days for hearing cases to close	737	1,181	1,092	1,091	1,208	1,651
246	Number of cases where claimants have received an award in their favor	46	51	47	48	54	42
247	Percentage of cases where claimants have received an award in their favor	38 %	43 %	39 %	34 %	42.5 %	37.17 %
248	Largest claimant award	1,100,000	3,800,000	1,300,000	3,300,000	1,538,000	4,084,637
249	Average claimant award	156,001	399,000	273,000	386,000	287,000	448,436
250	Median claimant award	79,024	168,000	160,000	249,500	200,000	246,018
251	Mode claimant award	175,000	30,000	15,000	250,000	100,000	25,000
252	Lowest claimant award	2,500	500	1	3,000	1,000	300
253	Highest claimant award	1,100,000	3,800,000	1,300,000	3,300,000	1,538,000	4,084,637
254	Number of claimants who have requested expedited process	10	9	3	15	12	11
255	Number of requests for expedited process made to OIA (not a NA)	8	8	2	11	8	7
256	Number of requests for expedited process the OIA granted	7	7	2	10	8	5
257	Number of requests for expedited process the OIA denied without prejudice	1	1	0	1	0	2
258	Number of requests for expedited process to which respondent objected	3	1	0	1	0	1
259	Number of requests for expedited process to which respondent objected but OIA granted	3	1		1		0
260	Number of requests for expedited process to which respondent objected and OIA denied	0	0		0		1
261	Number of requests for expedited process granted by NA after prior OIA denial	0	0	0	1	0	0
262	Number of requests for expedited process made to Nas	2	1	1	4	1	3

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
263	Number of requests for expedited process made to NAs granted	1	1	1	3	0	1
264	Number of requests for expedited process made to NAs denied	1	0	0	1	1	2
265	Number of expedited cases			1	11	8	7
266	Percent of expedited cases constitute of all OIA cases			0.1 %	1 %	1 %	0.86 %
267	Number of expedited cases remaining open	2	2	1	5	2	4
268	Smallest number of days for an expedited case to close			65	23	36	65
269	How the expedited case with the smallest number of days closed			Settled			
270	Smallest number of days for an expedited case to close after hearing				23	232	
271	Have all expedited cases closed within their set time periods?			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
272	Are open expedited cases on schedule?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	Average number of days for an expedited case to close		101.	207.	121.	131.	173.8
274	Median number of days for an expedited case to close		86	207	131	102	108
275	Mode number of days for an expedited case to close						
276	Lowest number of days for an expedited case to close		41	65	23	36	65
277	Highest number of days for an expedited case to close		217	349	202	266	428
278	Number of expedited cases with benefits and coverage issues		0	0	0	0	0
279	Have the expedited cases with benefits and coverage issues been completed/closed within their set time periods?						Yes
280	Number of cases designated as complex by Nas	30	14	13	33	43	35
281	Number of closed complex cases	22	18	18	17	29	34
282	Average number of days for a complex case to close			690.	627.	652.	731.88
283	Median number of days for a complex case to close			654	635	622	713
284	Mode number of days for a complex case to close						762
285	Lowest number of days for a complex case to close			339	434	443	411
286	Highest number of days for a complex case to close			1,004	779	999	1,378
287	Number of complex cases so designated because of complex medical issues			7	13	7	12
288	Number of complex cases so designated because of complex discovery issues			4	9	6	8
289	Number of cases designated as extraordinary	2	1	6	1	4	7
290	Number of closed extraordinary cases			4	2	3	3
291	Average number of days for extraordinary cases to close			977.	1,032.	858.	1,055.67
292	Number of Rule 28 extensions of time had been granted which extended cases beyond 18 months	43	55	77	78	98	63

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
293	Number of Rule 28 E cases that are open		35	47	53	60	41
294	Number of Rule 28 E cases that are closed		20	65	69	73	78
295	Average number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close			679.	661.	668.	695.72
296	Median number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close			647	603	641	619
297	Mode number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close			585	570	508	506
298	Lowest number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close			471	168	316	310
299	Highest number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close			1,092	1,285	1,208	1,651
300	Number of completed fee waiver applications the OIA has received	66	65	79	55	44	53
301	Number of fee waiver requests OIA granted	56	65	75	56	42	50
302	Number of fee waiver requests OIA denied	6	3	0	2	1	1
303	Number of denied cases where claimant subsequently failed to pay the fee and cases closed as abandoned			0	0	0	0
304	Number of cases where respondent objected to the fee waiver request	3	1	0	0	2	0
305	OIA rulings granted in cases where respondent has objected to fee waiver	1				1	0
306	OIA rulings denied in cases where respondent has objected to fee	2				1	0
307	Number of fee waiver requests pending	8		3	0	1	3
308	Number of claimants who did not return requested fee waiver applications and left the system for non-payment of fees	5	4	9	4	6	7
309	Number of evaluations the OIA mailed out	1,244	682	1,438	1,360	1,012	1,110
310	Number of evaluations returned	635	358	633	590	280	455
311	Percentage of evaluations returned	51 %	53 %	44 %	43 %	28 %	40.99 %
312	Number of responses from claimant side	223	130	234	228	108	169
313	Number of responses from claimant attorneys	186	108	199	194	91	154
314	Number of responses from Pro Pers	37	21	35	34	17	15
315	Number of responses from respondent attorneys	390	216	376	349	168	257
316	Number of questionnaires OIA sent to NA	622	341	719	680	506	555
317	Number of questionnaires returned by NA	493	286	576	597	490	484
318	Percentage of questionnaires returned by NA	79 %	84 %	80 %	88 %	97 %	87.21 %
319	Number of cases where NAs granted a Rule 28 extension to hold an AMC without extending the 18 month deadline	20		31	16	33	40
320	Number of Rule 28 Postponement of AMC	20		31	16	33	42
321	Number of Rule 28 Court ordered potponement			2	1	1	2
322	Number of Rule 28 postponement extending 18 month time period	43		77	81	101	63

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
323	Number of Rule 28 postponement to submit filing fee	4		15	3	10	14
324	Number of Rule 28 postponement of hearing within time period	3		70	49	51	50
325	Number of Rule 28 postponement of NA selection	2		64	29	23	19
326	Number of Rule 28 OIA grants postponement proceedings			4	1	0	0
327	Number of Rule 28 NA grants postponement proceedings			2	2	5	1